

# On maximal $S$ -free sets and the Helly number for the family of $S$ -convex sets

Gennadiy Averkov\*

October 6, 2011

## Abstract

Let  $S$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . A subset  $K$  of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is said to be  $S$ -free if  $K$  is closed, convex and the interior of  $K$  is disjoint with  $S$ . An  $S$ -free set  $K$  is said to be maximal if  $K$  is not properly contained in another  $S$ -free set. We present a condition on  $S$  which guarantees that every maximal  $S$ -free set is a polyhedron with at most  $f$  facets, where the bound  $f$  depends only on  $S$ . This condition on  $S$  is formulated in terms of the Helly number for the family of  $S$ -convex sets. The presented result yields corollaries related to the cutting-plane theory from integer and mixed-integer optimization.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary 90C11; Secondary 90C10, 52A01, 52C07

*Keywords:* cutting plane; Doignon's theorem; Helly's theorem; Helly number; intersection cut; lattice-free set;  $S$ -convex set;  $S$ -free set

## 1 Introduction

Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ . A subset  $K$  of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is said to be  $S$ -free if  $K$  is closed, convex and the interior of  $K$  is disjoint with  $S$ . An  $S$ -free set  $K$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is said to be *maximal* if there exists no  $S$ -free set properly containing  $K$ . Dey and Morán [7] have recently studied maximal  $S$ -free sets in the case that  $S$  is the intersection of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  and a convex set. In particular, in [7] the following result was obtained.

**Theorem 1.** (Dey & Morán, [7, Theorem 3.4]). *Let  $S = \mathbb{Z}^d \cap C$ , where  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  is convex. Then every  $d$ -dimensional maximal  $S$ -free set is a polyhedron with at most  $2^d$  facets.*

In the case  $S = \mathbb{Z}^d$  Theorem 1 was formulated by Lovász [11, Theorem 3.4] (a proof can be found in [4, §2.2]). Various special cases of Theorem 1 were considered and used in [4, 5, 8, 10]. This note presents a theorem (Theorem 4), which implies Theorem 1 and also yields the following mixed-integer analog of Theorem 1.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $d, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $S = (\mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{R}^n) \cap C$ , where  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^n$  is convex. Then every  $d$ -dimensional maximal  $S$ -free set is a polyhedron with at most  $2^d$  facets.*

---

\*Institute for Mathematical Optimization, Faculty of Mathematics, University of Magdeburg, 39106 Magdeburg, Germany; email: averkov@math.uni-magdeburg.de

We remark that maximal  $S$ -free sets of dimension less than  $d$  can be characterized in rather simple terms: a subset  $K$  of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is maximal  $S$ -free and of dimension less than  $d$  if and only if  $K$  is a hyperplane and in both open subspaces determined by  $K$  one can find points of  $S$  lying arbitrarily close to  $K$ . Hence in what follows our considerations are restricted to the case of  $d$ -dimensional maximal  $S$ -free sets.

A natural question in the context of the cutting-plane theory (from integer and mixed-integer programming) is whether for a given  $S$  one can find  $f \geq 0$  such that every maximal  $S$ -free set is a polyhedron with at most  $f$  facets. The motivation provided by the cutting-plane theory is based on the fact that maximal  $S$ -free sets for  $S := \mathbb{Z}^d \cap C$  (where  $C$  is convex) can be used as ‘cutting objects’ for generation of intersection cuts (see, for example, [1, 3, 10]). It is thus desirable to have an upper bound on the combinatorial complexity of such cutting objects.

Our question on the existence of an upper bound  $f$  can be expressed in terms of a parameter  $f(S)$ , which we introduce as follows. If, for a given  $S$ , there exist maximal  $S$ -free sets which are not polyhedra or if there exist  $d$ -dimensional maximal  $S$ -free polyhedra with arbitrarily large number of facets we let  $f(S) := +\infty$ . If there exist no  $d$ -dimensional maximal  $S$ -free sets (e.g., for  $S = \mathbb{R}^d$ ) we let  $f(S) := -\infty$ . In the remaining cases the set of  $d$ -dimensional maximal  $S$ -free sets is nonempty and consists of polyhedra whose number of facets is bounded in terms of  $S$ ; in such cases we denote by  $f(S)$  the largest possible number of facets in a  $d$ -dimensional maximal  $S$ -free polyhedron. Thus, we ask for conditions on  $S$  which ensure  $f(S) < +\infty$ . The main message of this note is that there exists a strong relation between  $f(S)$  and the Helly number associated to the family of  $S$ -convex sets.

**Definition 3.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a nonempty family of sets with  $\mathcal{F} \neq \{\emptyset\}$ . Then the *Helly number*  $h(\mathcal{F})$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined to be the minimal  $h \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the following implication holds: If  $\mathcal{X}$  is an arbitrary finite subfamily of  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $\mathcal{X}$  contains at least  $h$  sets and every  $h$ -element subfamily of  $\mathcal{X}$  has nonempty intersection, then also  $\mathcal{X}$  has nonempty intersection. If no  $h \in \mathbb{N}$  as above exists, we let  $h(\mathcal{F}) := +\infty$ . We also define the Helly number of  $\{\emptyset\}$  by  $h(\{\emptyset\}) := 0$ .

A subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is called  *$S$ -convex* if  $A = S \cap C$  for some convex subset  $C$  of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . The notion of  $S$ -convexity is reduced to the standard notion of convexity for  $S = \mathbb{R}^d$  and to the notion of lattice-convexity for  $S = \mathbb{Z}^d$ . Let  $h(S)$  denote the Helly number for the family of all  $S$ -convex sets. That is

$$h(S) := h\left(\left\{S \cap C : C \text{ is a convex subset of } \mathbb{R}^d\right\}\right).$$

The equality

$$h(\mathbb{R}^d) = d + 1 \tag{1}$$

represents the classical theorem of Helly (see, for example, [13, Theorem 1.1.6]). Doignon [9, (4.2)] proved the equality

$$h(\mathbb{Z}^d) = 2^d, \tag{2}$$

which is the analog of Helly’s theorem for  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -convex sets. See also [14, §16.5] for interpretation of (2) in terms of integer optimization. The result of Doignon and its special cases have often been rediscovered (see [6, 12, 15]). Based on (1) and (2) the authors of [2] showed

$$h(\mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{R}^n) = (n + 1)2^d \tag{3}$$

for all  $d, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Equality (3) is the mixed-integer analog of Helly's theorem.

Now we are ready to formulate our main result.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ . Then  $f(S) \leq h(S)$ .*

As a consequence of Theorem 4 and (2) we obtain

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $d, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^n$  be convex. Then*

$$f(A \cap \mathbb{Z}^d) \leq 2^d, \quad (4)$$

$$f(\mathbb{Z}^d) = 2^d, \quad (5)$$

$$f(B \cap (\mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{R}^n)) \leq 2^d, \quad (6)$$

$$f(\mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{R}^n) = 2^d. \quad (7)$$

Comparing (5), (7) with (2), (3) we see that, for different choices of  $S$ , in Theorem 4 one can have the equality  $f(S) = h(S)$  as well as the strict inequality  $f(S) < h(S)$ .

Inequalities (4) and (6) represent the assertions of Theorems 1 and 2, respectively. The authors of [7] indicate that their proof of Theorem 1 is quite technical (see [7, p. 382, remark after Proposition 3.3]). In contrast to this, our arguments lead to a shorter and less technical proof of Theorem 1.

## 2 Proofs

We use standard terminology from the theory of polyhedra (see, for example, [14, Part III]). For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$ . The standard scalar product of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is denoted by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . By  $\text{int}$  we denote the interior with respect to the Euclidean topology of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $f \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume that every  $d$ -dimensional  $S$ -free rational polyhedron  $P$  is contained in an  $S$ -free polyhedron  $Q$  with at most  $f$  facets. Then every  $d$ -dimensional maximal  $S$ -free set is a polyhedron with at most  $f$  facets.*

*Proof.* Let  $K$  be an arbitrary  $d$ -dimensional maximal  $S$ -free set. It suffices to show that  $K$  is contained in an  $S$ -free polyhedron with at most  $h$  facets. We consider a sequence  $(P_n)_{n=1}^{+\infty}$  of  $d$ -dimensional rational polytopes such that

$$P_n \subseteq P_{n+1} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (8)$$

and

$$\text{int}(K) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} P_n. \quad (9)$$

Such polytopes  $P_n$  can be constructed as follows. Let  $(z_n)_{n=1}^{+\infty}$  be a sequence of all rational points of  $\text{int}(K)$  such that the first  $d+1$  points  $z_1, \dots, z_{d+1}$  are affinely independent. Then, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define  $P_n$  to be the convex hull of  $\{z_1, \dots, z_{n+d}\}$ . By the assumption, each  $P_n$  is contained in an  $S$ -free polyhedron  $Q_n$  having at most  $f$  facets. Every  $Q_n$  can be represented by

$$Q_n = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle u_{1,n}, x \rangle \leq \beta_{1,n}, \dots, \langle u_{f,n}, x \rangle \leq \beta_{f,n} \right\}$$

where  $u_{1,n}, \dots, u_{f,n} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  are vectors of unit (Euclidean) length and  $\beta_{1,n}, \dots, \beta_{f,n} \in \mathbb{R}$ . There exists an infinite subset  $\mathbb{N}_\infty$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that, for every  $i \in [f]$ , the vector  $u_{i,n}$  converges to some unit vector  $u_i$  and  $\beta_i$  converges to some  $\beta_i \in (-\infty, +\infty]$ , as  $n$  goes to infinity over points of  $\mathbb{N}_\infty$ . We define the polyhedron

$$Q := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle u_1, x \rangle \leq \beta_1, \dots, \langle u_f, x \rangle \leq \beta_f \right\}.$$

By construction,  $P_1 \subseteq P_n \subseteq Q_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence  $P_1 \subseteq Q$ , which shows that  $Q$  is  $d$ -dimensional. Let us show that  $Q$  is  $S$ -free. We assume the contrary. Then there exists  $x \in S$  belonging to  $\text{int}(Q) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle u_1, x \rangle < \beta_1, \dots, \langle u_f, x \rangle < \beta_f\}$ . The latter implies  $\langle u_{i,n}, x \rangle < \beta_{i,n}$  for all  $i \in [f]$  if  $n \in \mathbb{N}_\infty$  is sufficiently large. This implies  $x \in S \cap \text{int}(Q_n)$  for all sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{N}_\infty$ , contradicting the fact that  $Q_n$  is  $S$ -free. We also show  $\text{int}(K) \subseteq Q$  arguing by contradiction. If  $x$  is a point belonging to  $\text{int}(K)$  but not to  $Q$ , then one can fix  $i \in [f]$  such that  $\langle u_i, x \rangle > \beta_i$ . Consequently,  $\langle u_{i,n}, x \rangle > \beta_{i,n}$  for all sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{N}_\infty$ . The inequality  $\langle u_{i,n}, x \rangle > \beta_{i,n}$  implies  $x \notin Q_n$  and, by this,  $x \notin P_n$ . Thus,  $x \notin P_n$  for all sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{N}_\infty$ . Since the sequence of  $P_n$ 's is monotone (as described by (8)), we get  $x \notin P_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consequently,  $x \notin \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} P_n$ . In view of (9), we obtain  $x \notin \text{int}(K)$ , which is a contradiction. We have verified the inclusion  $\text{int}(K) \subseteq Q$ . Taking the closure of the left and the right hand side we arrive at  $K \subseteq Q$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 4.* Let us first consider degenerate cases. If  $S = \emptyset$ , we have  $h(S) = h(\{\emptyset\}) = 0$ . On the other hand, for  $S = \emptyset$ , the whole space  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is the only maximal  $S$ -free set, and thus  $f(S) = 0$ . If  $S$  is nonempty we have  $h(S) \in \mathbb{N}$  or  $h(S) = +\infty$ . In the case  $h(S) = +\infty$  the assertion is trivial. Now assume  $h(S) \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let us verify the assumption of Lemma 6 for  $f := h(S)$ . Let  $P$  be an arbitrary  $d$ -dimensional  $S$ -free rational polyhedron in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We represent  $P$  by  $P = H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_n$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $H_1, \dots, H_n$  are closed rational halfspaces. Then  $\text{int}(H_1) \cap S, \dots, \text{int}(H_n) \cap S$  are  $S$ -convex sets whose intersection is empty. By the definition of the Helly number  $h(S)$ , there exist indices  $i_1, \dots, i_f \in [n]$  such that  $(\text{int}(H_{i_1}) \cap \dots \cap \text{int}(H_{i_f})) \cap S = \emptyset$ . It follows that  $P \subseteq Q := H_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap H_{i_f}$ , where  $Q$  is an  $S$ -free polyhedron with at most  $f$  facets. Thus, the assumption of Lemma 6 is fulfilled. Lemma 6 yields the assertion.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 5.* Directly from the definition of the Helly number it follows that for every  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  and every convex set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  one has

$$h(S \cap A) \leq h(S). \quad (10)$$

Using Theorem 4, (10) and Doignon's theorem (represented by (2)) we obtain  $f(A \cap \mathbb{Z}^d) \leq h(A \cap \mathbb{Z}^d) \leq h(\mathbb{Z}^d) = 2^d$ , which shows (4).

For the verification of (5) it suffices to establish the existence of maximal  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -free polyhedra with  $2^d$  facets. Such polyhedra can easily be constructed. Let  $\|\cdot\|_1$  be the  $l_1$ -norm in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and let  $c$  be the vector in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  whose components are all equal to  $1/2$ . Let  $P := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x - c\|_1 \leq d/2\}$ . The polytope  $P$  is  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -free since  $\|z - c\|_1 \geq d/2$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  and is maximal  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -free since each of the  $2^d$  facets of  $P$  is 'blocked' by a point from  $\{0, 1\}^d$ . This shows  $f(\mathbb{Z}^d) \geq 2^d$  and yields (5).

For every  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  the trivial equality  $f(S \times \mathbb{R}^n) = f(S)$  holds. The latter equality and Doignon's theorem yield  $f(\mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{R}^n) = f(\mathbb{Z}^d) = 2^d$ , which verifies (7). Inequality (4) is a straightforward consequence of (7) and (10).  $\square$

**Remark 7.** As can be seen from the proof of Theorem 4, the inequality  $f(S) \leq h(S)$  can be improved to

$$f(S) \leq h\left(\left\{\text{int}(P) \cap S : P \text{ is a rational polyhedron in } \mathbb{R}^d\right\}\right).$$

Thus, in the proof of Theorem 5 it is sufficient to apply the following ‘rational’ version of Helly’s theorem:

$$h\left(\left\{\text{int}(P) \cap S : P \text{ is a rational polyhedron in } \mathbb{R}^d\right\}\right) = 2^d. \quad (11)$$

Note that, for (11), replacing  $\text{int}(P)$  by  $P$  does not change the family on the left hand side. A very short proof of (11) was given by Bell [6].

We also remark that (11) can be used to show  $h(\mathbb{Z}^d) = 2^d$  (the full version of Doignon’s theorem). This is done as follows. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 2^d$  and let  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  be convex sets in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that for all  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{2^d} \leq n$  one has  $A_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap A_{i_{2^d}} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \neq \emptyset$ . Using (11) we now show  $A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_n \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \neq \emptyset$ . Consider the box  $B := [-N, N]^d$  with  $N > 0$  large enough to guarantee that for all  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{2^d} \leq n$  one has  $A_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap A_{i_{2^d}} \cap B \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \neq \emptyset$ . Since, for every  $i \in [n]$ , the convex hull of  $A_i \cap B \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$  ( $i \in [n]$ ) is an integral polytope, one can determine rational polytopes  $P_1, \dots, P_n$  such that, for every  $i \in [n]$ , one has  $A_i \cap B \cap \mathbb{Z}^d = \text{int}(P_i) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ . Applying (11) to the sets  $\text{int}(P_1) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d, \dots, \text{int}(P_n) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$  we deduce  $\text{int}(P_1) \cap \dots \cap \text{int}(P_n) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_n \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \neq \emptyset$ . This implies  $h(\mathbb{Z}^d) \leq 2^d$ . The inequality  $h(\mathbb{Z}^d) \geq 2^d$  follows by considering the family  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $2^d$  sets  $\{0, 1\}^d \setminus \{z\}$  with  $z \in \{0, 1\}^d$ . The elements of  $\mathcal{F}$  are  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -convex, the intersection of  $\mathcal{F}$  is empty, and the intersection of every nonempty proper subfamily of  $\mathcal{F}$  is nonempty.

**Remark 8.** We indicate that the authors of [7] work under somewhat more general assumptions than the assumptions of Theorem 1. They consider the following sets:

- an affine subspace  $W$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ );
- a subset  $S$  of  $W \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$  such that  $S = \mathbb{Z}^d \cap C$  for some convex set  $C \subseteq W$ ;
- a closed, convex set  $K$  such that  $K \subseteq W$ , the relative interior of  $K$  does not contain points of  $S$  and such that  $K$  is inclusion-maximal with respect to the above properties.

Also in this more general situation the polyhedrality of  $K$  and a bound on the number of facets of  $K$  can be determined using Theorem 4 and Doignon’s theorem. Let  $d$  be the dimension of  $W$ . It suffices to consider the case that  $K$  is  $d$ -dimensional and  $S \neq \emptyset$ . Let us fix a nonsingular affine transformation  $T : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  which maps some point of  $W \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$  to the origin. Then  $\Lambda := T(W \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)$  is a lattice in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $T(K)$  is a maximal  $T(S)$ -free set. Doignon’s theorem implies  $h(\Lambda) = 2^r$ , where  $r$  is the rank of  $\Lambda$ . Furthermore,  $h(T(S) \cap \Lambda) \leq h(\Lambda)$ . Taking into account Theorem 4 we deduce that  $T(K)$  (and, by this, also  $K$ ) is a polyhedron with at most  $2^r$  facets.

## References

- [1] K. Andersen, Q. Louveaux, R. Weismantel, and L. A. Wolsey, *Inequalities from two rows of a simplex tableau*, Integer programming and combinatorial optimization, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., vol. 4513, Springer, Berlin, 2007, pp. 1–15.

- [2] G. Averkov and R. Weismantel, *Transversal numbers over subsets of linear spaces*, 10pp., Preprint arXiv:1002.0948 (to appear in *Adv. Geom.*), 2009.
- [3] E. Balas, *Intersection cuts—a new type of cutting planes for integer programming*, Operations Res. **19** (1971), 19–39.
- [4] A. Basu, M. Conforti, G. Cornuéjols, and G. Zambelli, *Maximal lattice-free convex sets in linear subspaces*, Math. Oper. Res. **35** (2010), no. 3, 704–720.
- [5] ———, *Minimal inequalities for an infinite relaxation of integer programs*, SIAM J. Discrete Math. **24** (2010), no. 1, 158–168.
- [6] D. E. Bell, *A theorem concerning the integer lattice*, Studies in Appl. Math. **56** (1977), no. 2, 187–188.
- [7] S. S. Dey and D. A. Morán R., *On maximal  $S$ -free convex sets*, SIAM J. Discrete Math. **25** (2011), no. 1, 379–393.
- [8] S. S. Dey and L. A. Wolsey, *Constrained infinite group relaxations of MIPs*, SIAM J. Optim. **20** (2010), no. 6, 2890–2912.
- [9] J.-P. Doignon, *Convexity in crystallographical lattices*, J. Geometry **3** (1973), 71–85.
- [10] R. Fukasawa and O. Günlük, *Strengthening lattice-free cuts using non-negativity*, Discrete Optim. **8** (2011), no. 2, 229–245.
- [11] L. Lovász, *Geometry of numbers and integer programming*, Mathematical programming (Tokyo, 1988), Math. Appl. (Japanese Ser.), vol. 6, SCIPRESS, Tokyo, 1989, pp. 177–201.
- [12] H. E. Scarf, *An observation on the structure of production sets with indivisibilities*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **74** (1977), no. 9, 3637–3641.
- [13] R. Schneider, *Convex Bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski Theory*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 44, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [14] A. Schrijver, *Theory of Linear and Integer Programming*, Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics, John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester, 1986, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
- [15] M. J. Todd, *The number of necessary constraints in an integer program: A new proof of Scarf’s theorem*, Technical Report 355, School of Operations Research and Industrial Engineering, Cornell University, Ithaca, N.Y., 1977.